

## **Behind The Marriage Protection Act**

The House of Representatives passed 'The Marriage Protection Act' to stop the courts from imposing their destructive will on the Defense of Marriage Act (1996). This is important to defend normal marriage. Yet, larger fights stand behind this single issue – as fundamentally important as marriage is to American Civilization. The act is about our Judeo-Christian foundation, or not, and the Liberal cultural cleansing of America from Christianity. Beyond that, the Act is about stopping Judicial Tyranny before the judges, acting as arrogant black-robed priest-kings, start something terrible.

Liberals have started civil suits to force all the states to recognize the homosexual 'marriage' four judges in Massachusetts made up (in a 4 to 3 decision). The Liberals are counting on the first part of Article IV of the U.S. Constitution which says "Full Faith and Credit shall be given in each State to the public Acts, Records, and judicial Proceedings of every other State." If the Senate doesn't pass The Marriage Protection Act, as all pundits say the senators won't, then other judges will be happy to impose homosexual 'marriage' on the 44 states that have laws protecting marriage.

The beauty and power of The Marriage Protection Act is that it exercises the next sentence in the Constitution, "And the Congress may by general Laws prescribe the Manner in which such Acts, Records and Proceedings shall be proved, and the Effect thereof." Congress has the Constitutional right and duty to protect the states from the rule of judges.

Furthermore, in Article III of the Constitution we see "The judicial Power of the United States, shall be vested in one supreme Court, and in such inferior Courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish." The judicial power comes from the Congress, not the other way around. The powers are expected to be modified as needed.

So, the Congress can keep the Supreme Court from making up laws on marriage from Article III's "the Supreme Court shall have appellate Jurisdiction, both as to Law and Fact, with such Exceptions, and under such Regulations as the Congress shall make."

When the Courts become so corrupted by power mad judges with lifetime appointments, the Congress could abolish all the Federal District and Appeals Courts and start all over again.

For more than Marriage, more than Cultural Cleansing, the Judges must be stopped soon. They will push their tyranny to the point in the Declaration of Independence, "That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government", unless they are restrained.

The sad irony is that such evil came from such good in "Brown vs. the Topeka Board of Education" (1954). The Supreme Court corrected an earlier Court's defense of racial segregation in "Plessy vs Ferguson" (1892). Then, abusing the moral authority of integration, the Courts began imposing their will everywhere.

The decision against school prayer "Engel vs Vitale" (1962) was the landmark abuse of

the First Amendment to change American culture. “Roe vs Wade” (1973) destroyed the laws on abortion for every state. It only got worse.

The judges seized legislative power to force busing for integration and then executive power to ‘oversee’ what they order. Judges expanded their power across other issues. The Liberals gain by judicial legislation what they could never gain through elections. The Conservatives fell prostrate to the courts in fear and trembling. The emotional moral authority of ‘civil rights’, however abused with abortion, racial quotas, pornography, the environment, etc., was too powerful to resist with logic, law and right.

Tyrannical power is so intoxicating to judges they can not stop. The judges will push the cultural cleansing of Christianity and Christian speech too far. When quoting the Bible is ‘hate speech’ and a ‘hate crime’ in America as it is in Canada today, some Christians will resist.

The Patriots in our American Revolution, which was a civil war, fought over who had the right to tax Americans, not about the taxes. The Southerners in our Civil War fought over who had the right to end slavery (Federal vs. States), not slavery. Our Culture War, ACW II, is at a critical juncture. Who has the right to make laws – the judges or the legislatures? Who has the right to decide how to execute government – the judges or the executive branches?

Passing this Act is vital step to save the Republic before a crisis.

James Atticus Bowden

**James Atticus Bowden has specialized in inter-disciplinary long range 'futures' studies for over a decade. He is employed by a Defense Department contractor for the Future Combat Systems. He is a retired United States Army Infantry Officer. He is a 1972 graduate of the United States Military Academy and earned graduate degrees from Harvard University and Columbia University. He holds two elected Republican Party offices in Virginia.**